



Statement of Corporate Governance of Spark Networks SE

The Statement of Corporate Governance is to be published separately on the Internet pursuant to Section 289f German Commercial Code (*Handelsgesetzbuch* – “HGB”). It comprises the Compliance Statement pursuant to Section 161 German Stock Corporation Act (*Aktiengesetz* – “AktG”) (A.), remuneration details (B.), relevant information on corporate governance practices (C.), a description of the working methods of the Management Board and the Supervisory Board, as well as of the composition and working methods of their committees (D.), specifications for promoting the participation of women in management positions pursuant to Section 76 para. 4 and Section 111 para. 5 AktG, together with a report on whether the targets set were achieved (E.), as well as a description of the diversity concept (F.).

A. Compliance Statement pursuant to Section 161 AktG

The Management Board and the Supervisory Board of a listed German stock corporation or – in the case of a listed German SE with a one-tier system of corporate governance – the Administrative Board are, pursuant to Section 161 AktG, obliged to declare that the recommendations of the "Government Commission German Corporate Governance Code" published by the Federal Ministry of Justice and Consumer Protection in the official section of the Federal Gazette have been, and are being, complied with or which recommendations have not been, or are not being applied and why not. The Administrative Board of Spark Networks SE issued the following statement in June 2023, which was published on the Company's website <https://www.spark.net/investors/corporate-governance/governance-documents>:

Compliance Statement regarding the recommendations of the German Corporate Governance Code pursuant to Section 161 German Stock Corporation Act (AktG)

Pursuant to Art. 9 p. 1 c) (ii) of the Regulation (EC) No. 2157/2001 on the Statute for a European Company (SE) (“SE-VO”) and Section 22 p. 6 of the German SE Implementation Act (“SEAG”) in conjunction with Section 161 Stock Corporation Act (*Aktiengesetz* “AktG”), the Administrative Board of Spark Networks SE (the “Company”) hereby declares as follows:

Taking into account the particularities of the one-tier system of corporate governance described under item 1 and subject to the exceptions listed and explained under items 2 and 3, the Company

- (a) since the last Compliance Statement published in June 2022, has complied with the recommendations of the German Corporate Governance Code in the version dated 16 December 2019, published by the Federal Ministry of Justice and Consumer Protection in the official section of the Federal Gazette on 20 March 2020 (“Code 2019”) until the entry into force of the German Corporate Governance Code in the version dated 28 April 2022, published by the Federal Ministry of Justice in the official section of the Federal Gazette on 27 June 2022 (“Code 2022”)
- (b) has complied with the recommendations of the Code 2022 since its entry into force on 27 June 2022,
and
- (c) will comply with the recommendations of the Code 2022.

1. Particularities of the One-Tier Corporate Governance System

According to Art. 43 - 45 SE-VO, in conjunction with Sections 20 et seq. SEAG, under the one-tier system of corporate governance, the management of the SE is the responsibility of a single management body, namely the Administrative Board. The Administrative Board manages the Company, determines the Company's basic business strategies and monitors the implementation of said strategies by the Managing Directors. The Managing Directors manage the Company's day-to-day business, represent the Company in and out of court and are bound by the instructions of the Administrative Board.

Spark Networks SE applies those recommendations of the Code that address the Supervisory Board to the Administrative Board, and applies those recommendations of the Code that address the Management Board to its Managing Directors to the extent applicable.

2. Exceptions to the recommendations of the Code 2019

G.3 and G.4 as well as G.10 and G.11 of the Code 2019 (Remuneration)

The Company's Administrative Board has presented a remuneration system to the Company's annual general meeting 2021 that complies with the statutory requirements of the Second Shareholders' Rights Directive (ARUG II) and follows the recommendations of the Code 2019 unless exceptions are declared in that regard.

Pursuant to recommendation G.3 of the Code 2019, in order to assess whether the specific total remuneration of members of the Management Board is in line with usual levels compared to other enterprises, the Supervisory Board shall determine an appropriate peer group of other third-party entities, and shall disclose the composition of that group. In the opinion of the Administrative Board, it is not advisable to disclose the composition of the peer group since competitors could draw conclusions about the strategic considerations of the Administrative Board. Therefore, the Company does not comply with recommendation G.3 of the Code 2019.

Given the Company's listing on the U.S. stock exchange NASDAQ in New York respectively the previous listing on the New York Stock Exchange (NYSE) and the US characteristics of the Company Group, the determination of the remuneration follows the remuneration of comparable US-based companies. Thus, the Company does not comply with the recommendation G.4 of the Code 2019, pursuant to which – when assessing whether the remuneration is in line with usual levels within such company – the Administrative Board shall take into account the ratio between the remuneration of the Managing Directors and the remuneration of the senior management and the workforce as a whole, as well as how remuneration has developed over time. For the aforementioned reasons, the Company also does not comply with recommendation G.11 of the Code 2019.

Additionally, and as a precautionary measure it shall be declared that the Company does not comply with recommendation G.10 of the Code 2019, since the variable share-based remuneration granted by the Company is a virtual stock option plan pursuant to which a beneficiary's claims can – at the discretion of the Company – be settled either in shares/ADS or in cash.

B.2 and B.5 of the Code 2019 (Succession planning, age limit for Managing Directors)

Pursuant to recommendation B.2 of the Code 2019, the procedure for long-term succession planning shall be described in the Statement of Corporate Governance. The Company, however, is of the opinion that the search for and selection of suitable persons for management positions in the Company belongs to the category of sensitive information that the Company – unless required by securities law – does not share with the public.

According to recommendation B.5 of the Code 2019, an age limit shall be specified for members of the Management Board and disclosed in the Statement of Corporate Governance. Irrespective of the standards that exist in the Company with regard to the qualifications and responsibilities of board members, the Company does not consider the abstract criterion of the age of Managing Directors to be an appropriate

attribute for generally denying a candidate's suitability for the respective position. Consequently, no age limit has been established nor is it disclosed in the Statement of Corporate Governance.

C.1 and C.2 of the Code 2019 (Composition of the Supervisory Board, age limit for Supervisory Board members)

Pursuant to recommendation C.1 of the Code 2019, the Supervisory Board shall determine specific objectives regarding its composition and shall prepare a profile of skills and expertise for the entire board. The Administrative Board of the Company has defined certain requirements and objectives for its composition as well as a skills matrix by which all members of and candidates for the Administrative Board are judged in order to ensure a balanced composition of the Administrative Board. Further, the Company is subject to special requirements and standards under US securities law due to the listing of its shares on the U.S. stock exchange NASDAQ. Those standards regarding the qualifications and responsibilities of Administrative Board members are taken into account in each election. However, as it remains unclear as to whether the defined requirements and objectives for its composition as well as the skills matrix meet the standards of recommendation C.1 of the Code 2019, as a precautionary measure it shall be declared that the Company does not comply with recommendation C.1 of the Code 2019.

According to recommendation C.2 of the Code 2019, an age limit for members of the Supervisory Board shall be specified and appropriately taken into account and disclosed in the Statement of Corporate Governance. As for Managing Directors, the Company does not consider an abstract age criterion to be appropriate for generally denying a candidate's suitability for the respective position. Moreover, against the backdrop of the Company's listing on the U.S. stock exchange NASDAQ, the Administrative Board follows a recommendation by Institutional Shareholder Services not to set an age limit. Accordingly, no age limit has been established, nor is it disclosed in the Statement of Corporate Governance.

C.5 of the Code 2019 (Board Mandates)

Pursuant to recommendation C.5 of the Code 2019, members of the Management Board of a listed company shall not hold, in aggregate, more than two Supervisory Board mandates in non-group listed companies or comparable functions, and shall not accept Chairmanship of a Supervisory Board in a non-group listed company.

According to sec. 11 of the Company's Corporate Governance Guidelines reflecting the corresponding rules of the U.S. stock exchange NASDAQ, respectively and following a recommendation by Institutional Shareholder Services, executive members of the Administrative Board may hold up to four (4) board positions in addition their respective position as member of the Company's Administrative Board.

F.2 of the Code 2019 (Financial Statements)

Pursuant to recommendation F.2 of the Code 2019, the consolidated financial statements and the group management report shall be made publicly accessible within 90 days from the end of the financial year and the mandatory interim financial information shall be made publicly accessible within 45 days from the end of the reporting period.

The Company makes its Financial Statements and the interim financial information publicly accessible within the timeframe provided by German and US securities law in order to avoid additional administrative efforts that would otherwise be required due to its international group structure.

2. Exceptions to the recommendations of the Code 2022

G.3 and G.4 as well as G.10 and G.11 of the Code 2022 (Remuneration)

The Company's Administrative Board has presented a remuneration system to the Company's annual general meeting 2021 that complies with the statutory requirements of the Second Shareholders' Rights Directive (ARUG II) and follows the recommendations of the Code 2019 unless exceptions have been or are declared in that regard.

Pursuant to recommendation G.3 of the Code 2022, in order to assess whether the specific total remuneration of members of the Management Board is in line with usual levels compared to other enterprises, the Supervisory Board shall determine an appropriate peer group of other third-party entities, and shall disclose the composition of that group. In the opinion of the Administrative Board, it is not advisable to disclose the composition of the peer group since competitors could draw conclusions about the strategic considerations of the Administrative Board. Therefore, the Company does not comply with recommendation G.3 of the Code 2022.

Given the Company's listing on the U.S. stock exchange NASDAQ in New York respectively the previous listing on the New York Stock Exchange (NYSE) and the US characteristics of the Company Group, the determination of the remuneration follows the remuneration of comparable US-based companies. Thus, the Company does not comply with the recommendation G.4 of the Code 2022, pursuant to which – when assessing whether the remuneration is in line with usual levels within such company – the Administrative Board shall take into account the ratio between the remuneration of the Managing Directors and the remuneration of the senior management and the workforce as a whole, as well as how remuneration has developed over time. For the aforementioned reasons, the Company also does not comply with recommendation G.11 of the Code 2022.

Additionally, and as a precautionary measure it shall be declared that the Company does not comply with recommendation G.10 of the Code 2022, since the variable share-based remuneration granted by the Company is a virtual stock option plan pursuant to which a beneficiary's claims can – at the discretion of the Company – be settled either in shares/ADS or in cash.

B.2 and B.5 of the Code 2022 (Succession planning, age limit for Managing Directors)

Pursuant to recommendation B.2 of the Code 2022, the procedure for long-term succession planning shall be described in the Statement of Corporate Governance. The Company, however, is of the opinion that the search for and selection of suitable persons for management positions in the Company belongs to the category of sensitive information that the Company – unless required by securities law – does not share with the public.

According to recommendation B.5 of the Code 2022, an age limit shall be specified for members of the Management Board and disclosed in the Statement of Corporate Governance. Irrespective of the standards that exist in the Company with regard to the qualifications and responsibilities of board members, the Company does not consider the abstract criterion of the age of Managing Directors to be an appropriate attribute for generally denying a candidate's suitability for the respective position. Consequently, no age limit has been established nor is it disclosed in the Statement of Corporate Governance.

C.1 and C.2 of the Code 2022 (Composition of the Supervisory Board, age limit for Supervisory Board members)

Pursuant to recommendation C.1 of the Code 2022, the Supervisory Board shall determine specific objectives regarding its composition and shall prepare a profile of skills and expertise for the entire board. The Administrative Board of the Company has defined certain requirements and objectives for its composition as well as a skills matrix by which all members of and candidates for the Administrative Board are judged in order to ensure a balanced composition of the Administrative Board. Further, the Company is subject to special requirements and standards under US securities law due to the listing of its shares on the U.S. stock exchange NASDAQ. Those standards regarding the qualifications and responsibilities of Administrative Board members are taken into account in each election. However, as it remains unclear as to whether the defined requirements and objectives for its composition as well as the skills matrix meet the standards of recommendation C.1 of the Code 2022, as a precautionary measure it shall be declared that the Company does not comply with recommendation C.1 of the Code 2022.

According to recommendation C.2 of the Code 2022, an age limit for members of the Supervisory Board shall be specified and appropriately taken into account and disclosed in the Statement of Corporate

Governance. As for Managing Directors, the Company does not consider an abstract age criterion to be appropriate for generally denying a candidate's suitability for the respective position. Moreover, against the backdrop of the Company's listing on the U.S. stock exchange NASDAQ, the Administrative Board follows a recommendation by Institutional Shareholder Services not to set an age limit. Accordingly, no age limit has been established, nor is it disclosed in the Statement of Corporate Governance.

C.5 of the Code 2022 (Board Mandates)

Pursuant to recommendation C.5 of the Code 2022, members of the Management Board of a listed company shall not hold, in aggregate, more than two Supervisory Board mandates in non-group listed companies or comparable functions, and shall not accept Chairmanship of a Supervisory Board in a non-group listed company.

According to sec. 11 of the Company's Corporate Governance Guidelines reflecting the corresponding rules of the U.S. stock exchange NASDAQ, respectively and following a recommendation by Institutional Shareholder Services, executive members of the Administrative Board may hold up to four (4) board positions in addition their respective position as member of the Company's Administrative Board.

F.2 of the Code 2022 (Financial Statements)

Pursuant to recommendation F.2 of the Code 2022, the consolidated financial statements and the group management report shall be made publicly accessible within 90 days from the end of the financial year and the mandatory interim financial information shall be made publicly accessible within 45 days from the end of the reporting period.

The Company makes its Financial Statements and the interim financial information publicly accessible within the timeframe provided by German and US securities law in order to avoid additional administrative efforts that would otherwise be required due to its international group structure.

Berlin, June 2023

Spark Networks SE

For the Administrative Board

Colleen Birdnow Brown

B. Remuneration details

The remuneration report for the last financial year and the auditor's report pursuant to Section 162 of the German Stock Corporation Act, the remuneration system approved by the annual general meeting pursuant to Section 87a (1) and (2) Sentence 1 AktG and the last remuneration resolution pursuant to Section 113 (3) AktG have been made publicly available on the Company's website at <https://www.spark.net/investors/news-events/annual-general-meeting>.

C. Information on corporate governance practices

The corporate governance of Spark Networks SE is primarily determined by statutory provisions, the recommendations of the German Corporate Governance Code as amended, as well as the internal corporate guidelines.

Pursuant to Art. 43 – 45 Regulation (EC) No. 2157/2001 on the Statute for a European Company (SE) (“SE-VO”) in conjunction with Sections 20 et seqq. German SE Implementation Act (“SEAG”), in the one-tier corporate governance system, the management of the SE is the responsibility of a single management

body, namely the Administrative Board. The Administrative Board manages the Company, determines the Company's basic business strategies and monitors their implementation by the Managing Directors. The Managing Directors manage Company's day-to-day business, represent the Company in and out of court and are bound by the instructions of the Administrative Board.

Spark Networks SE applies those recommendations of the German Corporate Governance Code that address the Supervisory Board to the Administrative Board, and applies those recommendations of the Code that address the Management Board to its Managing Directors to the extent applicable.

Efficient cooperation between the Administrative Board and the Managing Directors, respect for shareholders' interests, openness and transparency are key aspects of good corporate governance.

Another corporate body is the General Meeting. The powers of the governing bodies are stipulated in the SE-VO, the SEAG, the AktG, the Articles of Association and the Company's internal guidelines.

Lawful conduct and responsibility of employees and executives form the basis for the success of Spark Networks SE. In accordance with the Code of Conduct, all employees of Spark Networks SE are required to act in a risk-conscious manner and to avoid risks that could threaten the Company's existence. The Code of Conduct summarizes key policies and guidelines and includes moral standards and legal requirements that each employee must adhere.

To enhance good corporate governance, the Administrative Board and the Managing Directors shall ensure that appropriate measures are taken in line with the risk situation of the Company (Compliance Management System). The Managing Directors deal with the relevant risks on an ongoing and responsible basis, as business risk management is considered a fundamental part of professional corporate governance. Spark Networks SE has already adopted several internal corporate policies: Whistleblower Policy, Code of Conduct, Corporate Governance Policy, Code of Ethics and Insider Trading Policy.

For further information on key corporate governance practices is available on Spark Networks SE's homepage at <https://www.spark.net/investors/corporate-governance/governance-documents>.

D. Functioning and composition of the Administrative Board, committees of the Administrative Board and functioning of the Managing Directors

The Managing Directors manage the business of Spark Networks SE, represent Spark Networks SE in and out of court, and are bound by the instructions of the Administrative Board. The Managing Directors manage the business of Spark Networks SE in accordance with the law, the Articles of Association of Spark Networks SE and the instructions of the Administrative Board. In doing so, they are bound by the best interests of the Company and committed to increasing the sustainable value of the Company. The two Managing Directors, Chelsea Grayson and Kristie Goodgion, manage the business of Spark Networks SE by implementing the principles and guidelines set by the Administrative Board. The Administrative Board appointed Chelsea Grayson as CEO and Kristie Goodgion as Chief Financial Officer of Spark Networks SE. The Managing Directors conduct the business of the Company with the care and diligence of a prudent and conscientious manager. The Managing Directors cooperate with the other bodies of the Company in a collegial and trustful manner for the benefit of the Company.

The Administrative Board manages Spark Networks SE, determines the principles of the Company's activities and monitors their implementation. It cooperates closely and in a spirit of trust with the Managing Directors and other bodies of Spark Networks SE for the benefit of the Company.

The Administrative Board currently consists of the following members: Colleen Birdnow Brown (Chair), Bradley J. Goldberg (both since 2017), Chelsea Grayson (since 2020), Bangaly Kaba and Joseph E. Whithers (both since 2021) as well as Ulrike Handel (since 2022). Two of the Administrative Board's seats are currently vacant after Eric Eichmann and Michael McConnel have resigned from their position in November 2022 and March 2023, respectively. In the opinion of the Administrative Board, all of its members are independent within the meaning of the German Corporate Governance Code.

In accordance with the Articles of Association of Spark Networks SE, the Administrative Board has

established two standing committees, namely an Audit Committee and a Nominating, Governance and Compensation Committee. In addition, a Special Committee was temporarily established in 2022.

The Audit Committee consists of three members and is responsible for the following topics: Preparation of the Administrative Board's resolution on the annual financial statements of Spark Networks SE, the application of accounting standards, monitoring the accounting process, monitoring the effectiveness of the internal audit system, the internal risk management system, the internal control system and compliance issues, passing resolutions on the audit mandate, the definition of audit priorities, monitoring the audit process and the independence of the auditor. The Audit Committee shall prepare the resolution of the Administrative Board regarding the annual financial statements (including the consolidated financial statements) and the proposal of the Administrative Board regarding the appointment of the auditor for the General Meeting and the assignment of the auditor.

The Chairperson of the Audit Committee shall have expertise and experience in the application of accounting policies and internal control systems. In addition, the Chairperson of the Audit Committee must not be the Chairperson of the Administrative Board or a former Managing Director of Spark Networks SE within the previous two years.

The Audit Committee of Spark Networks SE must include at least one member who is considered an audit committee expert within the meaning of the provisions of the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission with experience in accounting practice or finance.

The members of the Audit Committee are to be considered independent if the Administrative Board determines that they are independent within the meaning of the U.S. stock exchange NASDAQ, respectively and the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission.

The Audit Committee currently consists of the following members: Joseph E. Whitters (Chair), Ulrike Handel and Colleen Birdnow Brown.

The Nominating, Governance and Compensation Committee consists of three members and shall make candidate proposals to the Administrative Board to submit to the General Meeting for the election as members of the Administrative Board. The Nominating, Governance and Compensation Committee shall also prepare the decisions of the Administrative Board regarding the appointment, dismissal, remuneration and employment contracts of the Managing Directors.

The members of the Nominating, Governance and Compensation Committee are to be considered independent if the Administrative Board determines that they are independent within the meaning of the the U.S. stock exchange NASDAQ, respectively.

The Nominating, Governance and Compensation Committee currently consists of the following members: Bradley J. Goldberg (Chair), Ulrike Handel and Bangaly Kaba.

The Special Committee consisted of three members and had the task of exploring and discussion strategic alternatives for the Company. The Special Committee consisted of the following members: Chelsea Grayson (Chair), Colleen Birdnow Brown and Joe Whitters.

In the financial year 2022, the Administrative Board conducted a self-assessment as to how effectively the Administrative Board performs its tasks. As part of the self-assessment, the Administrative Board discussed the work performed in the past year by the Administrative Board, the cooperation, the flow of information, the organization and conduct of meetings, as well as risk management and accounting, and strategy development in the Administrative Board and with the Managing Directors.

E. Specifications for promoting the participation of women in management positions pursuant to Section 76 para. 4 and Section 111 para. 5 AktG and achievement report

Pursuant to Section 9 para. 1c) (ii) SE-VO and Section 22 para. 6 SEAG in conjunction with Section 76 para. 4 and Section 111 para. 5 AktG, the Administrative Board shall set targets for the proportion of women on the Administrative Board, at the level of the Managing Directors, and at the two management

levels below Managing Directors.

Spark Networks SE currently has three women on its Administrative Board. On 28 March 2018, the Administrative Board set a target of having one woman on the eight-member Administrative Board until 30 June 2022. By 30 June 2022 this target was achieved. The new target set by the Administrative Board is still one woman on the eight-member Administrative Board. A target of 0% by 30 June 2022 was set at the level of the Managing Directors. By 30 June 2022, none of the Managing Directors were women while until April 2022 one of the Managing Directors was a woman. Currently, two Managing Directors out of two Managing Directors are women. The new target set by the Administrative Board is one woman Managing Director. The new targets set by the Managing Directors for the two management levels below Managing Director level are one woman at each level. As Spark Networks SE had no management level below Managing Director level before, these targets were set for the first time. In all cases the new target date is 30 June 2027.

F. Diversity concept

Pursuant to Section 289f para. 2 no. 6 HGB, stock corporations must include in their Statement of Corporate Governance a description of the diversity concept pursued in terms of the composition of the body authorized to represent the Company and the Supervisory Board regarding aspects such as age, gender, educational or professional background, as well as the objectives of this diversity concept, the way how it is implemented and the results achieved in the financial year. Pursuant to Section 289f para. 5 HGB, if a company does not pursue a diversity concept, it must explain this fact in the Statement of Corporate Governance.

With exception of the set targets for women on the Administrative Board and Managing Director level as described in this Corporate Governance Statement the Company does not pursue a formal diversity concept within the meaning of Section 289f para. 2 no. 6 HGB regarding the composition of the Administrative Board and the Managing Directors in terms of age, gender, educational or professional background. The Administrative Board is of the opinion that – in addition to the existing women targets and other measures previously introduced to promote diversity within the Company – a further diversity concept would not be expedient.

Berlin, June 2023

Spark Networks SE

For the Administrative Board

Colleen Birdnow Brown